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Abstract

The increasing amount of data available from software systems has given a unique opportunity for software development organizations to make use of it in decision-making. There are several types of data such as bug reports, website interaction information, product usage extent or test results coming into software-intensive companies and there is a perceived lack of structure associated with the data. The data is mostly scattered and not in an organized form to be utilized further. The data, if analyzed in an effective way, can be useful for many purposes, especially in decision-making. The decisions can be on the level of business or on the level of product execution. In this paper, through a literature review, an interview study and a qualitative analysis we categorize different types data that organizations nowadays collect. Based on the categorization we order the different types of decisions that are generally taken in a software development process cycle. Combining the two we create a model to explain a recommended process of handling the surge of data and making effective use of it. The model is a tool to help both practitioners and academicians who want to have a clearer understanding of which type of data can best be used for which type of decisions. An outline of how further research can be conducted in the area is also highlighted.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TDD</td>
<td>Test Driven Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDDM</td>
<td>Data-driven Decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Continuous Deployment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BI</td>
<td>Business Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Continuous Integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDLC</td>
<td>Software Development Life Cycle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSD</td>
<td>Solid State Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOT</td>
<td>Internet of Things</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

The software development life cycle (SDLC) was introduced in the early 90’s as a way of standardizing software development. SDLC was created to manage and control software development (Sommerville, 2011). During the days of SDLC most organizations adopted a structured waterfall model of development mainly because of its strengths in manageability, ease of control and comprehensive documentation. In the waterfall model there used to be a division of tasks within dedicated teams. The teams used to handle the several stages of software development. The stages involved Requirements Handling, Analysis, Implementation, Testing and Release to name a few. There used to be handovers between teams as the flow of work went through these stages. With time, the weakness of this model became more visible, one of this was its rigidity to change (Carilli, 2013). The need for a more suitable adaptive approach to development arose to curb the deficiencies of the waterfall model especially with regards to speed of adopting changes to software.

The Agile methodology is one of the software development models that has been introduced in recent times to provide solutions to the pitfalls of the waterfall model. Development teams started to lean more towards agile methodologies. In agile, all stages were removed and instead the work responsibilities were entrusted to teams of cross-functional capabilities. The Agile methodology is very conducive to modern software development because of its ease of incorporating changes to design, its customer
centricity and its support to practices that allow iterative updates to software. Agile practices are deemed to be more appropriate to the fast-paced nature of modern-day software development. There has been a decrease of 17% in software time-to-market in companies that have adopted agile methods (Bossert, Ip & Starikova, 2015). Also, a study by the Standish group shows that projects that implement agile practices are three times more successful than non-agile projects (Carilli, 2013).

Due to the incremental nature of software development and frequent need for updates on software product, decision-making must be faster and more frequently made nowadays to be responsive to market demands. Decision-making on a software occurs throughout the software life cycle. Decisions vary in scale; there are more abstract decisions like those made from a requirement collection stage to more detailed decisions like font-size of a website made during the implementation stage. Most of these decisions are based on knowledge, experience or some collection of human factors. The time-frame for making these decisions has shortened; however, the accuracy of these decisions cannot be compromised mainly because of the existing competitiveness in the market. Inclusion of data to the other existing decision-making factors can be considered as an augmentation to the overall decision-making process.

Organizations can extract benefit from data in their decision-making process while developing software products (Perrey, Spillecke & Umblijis, 2013). There is also a perceived need of utilizing, for example, data scientists (Kim, Zimmermann, DeLine & Begel, 2016). According to Chen, Chiang and Storey (2012), data has provided an opportunity for better understanding of Business Intelligence (Chen, Chiang & Storey, 2012). It highlights the importance of analytics with regards to understanding of markets. When it comes to large organizations, over 97 percent of companies that made over 100
million dollars in revenue in 2011 incorporated analytics in their business model. However, because of the large quantity of data generated in recent times organizations require unique techniques to synthesize this data to find value from it (Chen, Chiang & Storey, 2012).

Technological advances have made it possible to gain more Business Intelligence with regards to software product development. We see a steadily growing need to involve customers as this has proven to be paramount to business success (Lundkvist & Yakhlef, 2004). Organizations need to factor data that relates to customers’ needs into their decision-making process for new and existing features to maximize value addition. The competitiveness of the software market means that organizations need to make more effective and efficient decisions bearing in mind the limitations in resources while at the same time striving for maximizing their profit margins. Another reason is to increase the speed of decision-making to match the sheer speed at which the global economy operates. This makes it important for managers to access “actionable data” which is in essence information that can be used to display performance metrics, understand customer behavior, and forecast market trends in a “real-time” manner (Hedgebeth, 2007).

We conducted a literature study on data-driven development to understand the current practices in organization and get a better insight on the impart of data in organizations. To support the literature study, an interview study was also conducted with 10 professionals from various domains of software development. We gathered feedback on the types of data collected in organizations and the types of decisions made in those organizations. This was done to achieve a relationship between the types of data, what we can derive from analyzing these types of data and how to use these data to achieve
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more effective and efficient decisions at several stages of software development. The study is targeted at software professionals that are involved in decision-making process. The model presented will guide them through how to involve data in their work process to make better choices. Furthermore, the study will also help academicians who intend to dive deeper into the relationship between data and its role in organizational success.

1.1 Background

Data-driven software development has gained popularity in recent years, mainly because of the ease of gathering customer feedback data and product data due to technological advances. Organizations see the value of involving data in their development processes to make products more customer-centric and efficient. Data is collected and analyzed throughout the software life cycle to implement changes that can continually improve the software. Data-driven software development is a technique of software development that believes in the fact that data collected about customers and the software product takes a central role in the applications that software developers create to maximize value (Laquer, 2017). Software over the last decade has increased its reach and impact on daily lives. Software is changing from being a computer-based entity to becoming an essential component present in most devices we use in our daily activities. Its growing trend does not just stop with software’s integration into devices. It expands its application-base within devices which are communicating with each other. As humans communicate via several languages, devices communicate via data transfer. Because of the increase in connectivity, we have a monumental increase in the data being generated and transferred (Marr, 2018). This increase in connectivity and data generation have opened a whole new frontier for software-intensive companies. Technology can now cater to,
understand and take advantage of this large amounts of data. This phenomenon also thus explains the recent surge in data sciences and disciplines around device-connectivity.

A blend of all types of data helps us understand customer and product behavior in a better way. A combination of data and other human factors in our decision-making can greatly improve the value of our product. In our study we establish a correlation between the types of data collected and how data can influence the decision-making on a product throughout its lifecycle.

1.2 Motivation

Gathering data from product or functionality in use has become easier. Connected systems, instrumented code resulting in capturing of user interactions, several tools collecting user behavior statistically have all contributed to the overwhelming flow of data into software development teams. To add to this, there are new technical tools such as social media, web interface etc. available to help in data collection. The data today is of large volumes, it is something that can be easily stored, and it is a factor from which valuable inferences can be made. From the days of finding information like how many bugs have been reported on a software product, or how many changes have been added to it or how many times the product has crashed, we have far more concrete, tangible, valuable, analyzable and presentable data. Even though the traditional forms of data collection like customer feedback calls, customer meeting notes, field test reports or error reports are still prevalent, newer software development practices have taken a leap forward and have ventured into more advanced areas of data collection from the actual product after it has been developed, delivered and deployed.
The challenge lies in the fact that software organizations working with data suffers from a perceived lack of structure. Even if a structure is conceptualized there are hurdles along the way to make it fully operational. The collected data gets scattered, it is handled in silos without a broad and overarching strategy, and it is often lost without deriving proper meaning and deduction from it. Besides, the unstructured data that is collected in the form of text, meeting notes etc. cannot be effectively analyzed using analytics tool. There has been some progress in this field too, however we are still a long way away. The more concrete data obtained from a software product during its real-time usage is easier to analyze as it is structured. In this case too, it is important to have a strategy and a method to look at and infer decisions affecting software development. To conclude, be it structured or unstructured, without a proper ordering of these various types of data it is rather difficult to make meaningful conclusion out of it.

Following the patterns of traditional methods of data collection as mentioned earlier, decision-making processes in software companies have been more opinion-oriented and sometimes even judgmental. In other words, more human factors, gut feeling, personal experiences and visionary form of decisions have been doing the rounds in these companies for long. The question is whether there has been a need for more information when taking decisions or making choices. While many professionals having the mandate to take decisions will say ‘no’, there ought to be some who will answer ‘yes’. People empowered to take decisions in software organizations have had their limitations in understanding the different factors that could be taken into consideration. Questions like – how many users actually used a certain feature or how much time did a certain user really spend on a certain web-interface as compared to one another or how many bytes of data actually went through a communication tunnel between two components sitting in two different systems connected in a certain environment have been of paramount
importance to understand end-user utility of a feature, ease of use of a feature or performance of a connected system. However, these sorts of information pointers have been unheard of just until recently. Today, these pointers have become reality. The question therefore arises as to whether software organizations should make effective use of those.

Our study focuses on highlighting the need for making use of different types of data that have come into existence thanks to the latest developments in software technology and practices. Besides, the study is also meant to contribute to the knowledge building around decision-making. In other words, the opportunities presented to software organizations due to a surge in the types of data should be duly utilized for effective decision-making. A shift from opinion-related to more evidence based and fact-driven understanding of software development lifecycle (Olsson & Bosch, 2014) is necessary. There is ought to be a problem if the advancements in the field of data is not reflected in the field of decision-making. To take the inclusion of data in software development to the next level, there must be more structural, methodical and professional approach around decision-making. This is where our study makes its contribution.

1.3 Research Questions

1) How can different types of data collected from customers and from products in the field be effectively categorized to derive value from it in a software-intensive organization?
2) How can the different types of data help improve decision-making activities in software-intensive organizations?
2 Literature Review

In this section we present the current state of art with regards to advancements in the field of data collection in software systems. We study what new process modifications have happened when it comes to collecting, storing and working with different types of data. We present an organizational view of this data in decision-making by reviewing existing literature. The chapter also presents our findings from available literature around categorization of data and advantages of data in relation to decision-making. Some of the implications are also highlighted together with challenges and opportunities associated with data-driven development.

2.1 Data and data-driven development

Data has many definitions; we opted to go with Merriam Webster (2019) which defines data as “information in digital form that can be transmitted or processed” (Webster, 2019). Based on this, the concept of “Data-driven” is termed as an adjective to describe activities determined by or dependent on the collection or analysis of data (Webster, 2019). This definition is also applicable to the software domain. Data-driven software development accepts the central role that data, in its primary form, takes in the applications that software developers create (Laquer, 2017).

In the below subsections, we would be discussing about the current state-of-the-art in relation to data – its generation, collection and storage and data analytics. After that we will dive into how data and analytics are key elements contributing to the decision-making
process in organizations. In the subsequent sections we will look in detail into stages in which data flows.

### 2.2 Generation, collection/storage and analytics

As mentioned earlier, there is a rapid increase in devices connected to the internet and a heavy reliance on embedded systems (Shack, 2018). Forbes estimates 50 billion devices connected to the internet by 2020 and over 30% of data generated to be coming from sensors and actuators (Vamosi, 2015). In the era of Big Data, almost everything around is collecting and sharing one form of data or the other. The large-scale adoption of mobile phone has affected the price of sensors and actuators making them cheaper (Stisen, Blunck, Bhattacharya, Prentow, Kjærgaard, Dey, Sonne & Jensen, 2015). Besides, it has also increased research in the field of Connected Systems. These devices can gather various types of information from environmental data to internal data such as usage data. They can even transfer it back to the product development organizations for better analysis. Connected Systems have made it easy to be accessible to customers and customer’s behavior is more observable. This provides software development organizations with much more options in terms of data collection (Bertino, 2016).

The collection of data has been a trend for long in the web domain. This is because of the ease of connectivity on the internet. For software that is connected to the internet it is easier to collect usage data on features and collect input data which is saved on a database. However, nowadays we have devices that can collect data through sensors. More data is being generated, creating a pool of data that can be referenced later, especially in the post deployment phase of products (Dukes, 2018). There has been a steady decline in the price of devices and components. As for example, sensors prices are
projected to have a fall in price by 67% between 2004 to 2020 (Honrubia, 2017). There have been enhancements too in microcontroller technology whereby they have more computational power and consume less energy. They have become ideal for controlling embedded systems (Odunlade, 2019). These micro-controllers help in processing the data collected and appropriating it – most of the time it is sent to a database for future computation.

The reliance on data is also fueled by the rapid decrease in cost of storage of data. The market for data storage is expanding rapidly. Competition in the data storage market has continually driven the price of data storage down, especially with the emergence of technology such as cloud computing and SSD, coupled with new storage techniques and tools for better management of stored data. Technologies like cloud computing have educated us on the benefits of warehousing data on the cloud database rather than in personal infrastructure. One of the benefits is in financial domain, which shows that it is relatively cheaper to use cloud storage, more so including the utilities that come with cloud computing (ESDS, 2015).

Most data are not structured and will require some sort of preprocessing to get them in a shape for analytics to be performed on them. Table 1 below shows the stages that analytics has gone through in recent times according to Rayes and Salam (Rayes & Salam, 2016). The table shows how analytics has evolved over time from data management to web analytics and now to an era of mobile analytics such as sensors (Chen, Chiang & Storey 2012). This provides us with information like market behavior which we derive through evaluating customer opinions, text analysis and sentiment analysis (Pang & Lee 2008). The data analytics tool can look at the cumulative data produced by software such as Google Tag Manager (Saeed, 2019) and analyze it over a given period. If, for example,
the result from analytics then shows that many users dropped out in the first subsection compared to those that went on to move to the next and that both these sets of users spent almost equal amount of time during their visit to the website, an inference can be drawn that the user experience of moving from the first subsection to the next has been difficult for the first set of users compared to the second. A decision can thus be taken to reimplement the navigation mechanism. We have now access even to data that aids in analysis of performance on software. This data is internally generated by the software itself and accessible to the organization and then evaluated for decision-making.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Analytics 1.0</th>
<th>Analytics 2.0</th>
<th>Analytics 3.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of data</strong></td>
<td>Structured, patterned, organized</td>
<td>Unstructured, unorganized</td>
<td>Unstructured, unorganized, random</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data analysis premise</strong></td>
<td>Data Center</td>
<td>Data Center</td>
<td>At edge &amp; Data Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Analysis time</strong></td>
<td>Days-hours</td>
<td>Hours-minutes</td>
<td>Seconds-microseconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data volume</strong></td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>Big</td>
<td>Big</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Different stages of data analytics (Rayes & Salam, 2016)
2.3 Organizational perspective on data-driven development

Any form of software product development is a collection of methods and processes (Sommerville, 2011). At different stages of the development process, different stakeholders can put forward their requirements and it is mainly up to the product management to channelize these requirements and make a prioritization decision. However, organizational dynamics play a rather important role in the overall decision structure. As Fabian, Olsson and Bosch (2015) mention in their paper (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2015), product management is finding it difficult to get customer feedback on time and on a continuous basis. Even though there is a constant urge to deliver and deploy testable software chunks by using different agile methodologies, there is a gap in understanding how effectively software developers can learn from real-time usage of data (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2015).

A typical software project spanning over a period sees the involvement of various stakeholders. These stakeholders include developers, project managers, product managers among others. All of them usually have their own way of reasoning when it comes to prioritizing feature development. The recent advancements in the field of Open Source (Mockus, Fielding & Herbsleb, 2002) and Cloud Computing (Zhang, Cheng & Boutaba, 2010) are bringing in newer inputs and perspectives into the process of feature prioritization. Prototyping (Abrahamsson & Wenström, 2018) or Controlled Experiments (Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson & Bosch, 2017) are also being employed as means to get valuable feedback from customers which is then fed as prioritization inputs. Even though each of these factors are contributing to getting more data upfront, it is increasingly complicated for stakeholders to analyze this data and to create a decision-making process.
which can guide them to decide on what to implement and what to leave in a software product.

To summarize, we can say that the whole concept of decision-making in a software organization is seeing new dimensions being added to it during the past few years. In other words, we see newer opportunities aiding in the decision-making process which impact software components or component suites that are developed. From the days of highly opinion-based decisions where only a selected few would decide which functionality to add, which one to modify and which one to get rid of depending solely on the customer feedback data or likewise, we are now seeing the possibility to add usage data (Bosch & Olsson, 2016), analytics (Laquer, 2017) and other evidences in the form of analyzed data, which are more fact-based and real-time, as helping aids to those decisions (Alfantoukh & Durresi, 2014).

2.4 Software development practices enabling data-driven development

The waterfall model, which requires the finished product to go through sequential approach development, is being increasingly replaced in organizations by Agile methodology. Agile methodology is a more incremental approach that is more flexible and responsive to change. Data-driven development is strongly encouraged by this lack of rigidity of the agile ways making it easier to implement results of decision-making faster, to observe patterns and to adapt to a change in requirement.

2.4.1 Agile Development Methods

Agile software development and agile ways of working have made steady progress in the recent years, especially in the past one decade. Several new techniques like Continuous Integration (CI) (Olsson & Bosch, 2014), Continuous Deployment (CD) (Olsson & Bosch,
2014), Test Driven Development (TDD) etc. (Janzen & Saiedian, 2005) are constantly been discussed in this context. Even though these practices are not necessarily dependent on each other, combined together, these can result in large amounts of credible data which can be made use of to arrive at decisions.

2.4.2 Continuous integration (CI)

CI gives a certain flexibility to organizations to release software in chunks and keep on adding values to the end-product (Fowler, 2006). Through the help of automated testing and integration tools an infrastructure is created in which a developer or a team can develop, review, merge and deliver directly and in regular intervals to the main track of software (Fowler, 2006). As a result, the software product under development is integrated iteratively and with minimum merging effort, thereby increasing the developer productivity if properly used (Ståhl & Bosch, 2014). The number of commits resulting from CI is much more than what used to be if traditional integration model was followed. Each commit has a message which produces historical data as the product takes shape and incrementally grows. This data can be looked at whenever needed in different phases of development lifecycle. This data can as well be used to do decision-making specially to conclude if a product is still active or not. A software component or a module which produces maximum commits has the maximum amount of usage.

2.4.3 Continuous Deployment (CD)

CD is another approach through which small pieces of functionality can be continuously made available to end-users (Rahman, Helms, Williams & Parnin, 2015). While one of the major benefits of this approach is to get out some useful pieces of functionality early and at regular intervals, another vital benefit of this approach is that it helps getting early feedback from end-users and customers (Leppänen, Mäkinen, Pagels, Eloranta, Itkonen, Mäntylä & Männistö, 2015). It is often the case that companies fail to get a proof of
whether customers have used what they had wanted and whether they are satisfied with the result. By using CD, there is a possibility to get answers to both these questions in a simpler way. A customer that uses the piece of functionality deployed guarantees that he or she has used it. On the other hand, by having incremental deployment of functional pieces, product managers or product owners can easily reach out to customers to get feedback data on their experiences so far. Both these data can then be utilized in decision-making for further development.

One of the major follow-up actions from CI and CD is that software development organizations can do incremental development regularly and whenever needed. In other words, the decisions that are taken after data analytics phase can often result in a newer version of the implementation. The updated version can have corrected code, design update or even architectural change (Virmani, 2015). Users can get an update and the same user feedback mechanism can continue to happen thereby helping the recent popular concept of Build-Measure-Learn (Fabijan, 2016).

2.4.4 Test-driven Development (TDD)

TDD is also another important tool in this context. It helps to get a large amount of data related to test results on a certain piece of functionality. In other words, the whole idea behind TDD is to write test cases corresponding to a piece of functionality and then run the test and make it fail as there is no code implemented yet (Janzen & Saiedian, 2005). The code is implemented next and a new round of test run produces pass result (Janzen & Saiedian, 2005). The functional piece is then considered ready. The number of test cases, the variety of scenarios and the number of corner-cases give a wide range of coverage for the implementation. Besides, there is a possibility to get more domain knowledge by going through the process of test case development and the corresponding
implementation of functional piece. The overall test result data can provide enough information to take a call on the maturity, usability and stability of the implemented code. This data can also be used later to decide on the lifespan of the functionality.

2.4.5 A/B Testing

A/B testing or Online Controlled Experimentation (Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson & Bosch, 2017) is a tool to get effective feedback from customers that are using a new piece of functionality. In case of an Online Controlled Experiment which can also be considered as randomized trial, software users are categorized into two random groups and each group is provided with a specific version of a functionality (Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson & Bosch, 2017). The two versions can be two different interfaces, or two different components added to the same user interface. The entire process is run multiple times and persistently. The various user interactions such as clicks, time spent on a certain interface etc. are checked and the data is collected and stored (e.g. engagement, task success, etc.) (Rodden, Hutchinson & Fu, 2010). It is further computed to understand the duration of usage, rate of success, number of failures etc. (Rodden, Hutchinson & Fu, 2010). The differences between the two or more given variants (A & B) are finally evaluated to find out which of the two has provided more improvements to the key performance metrics that the product manager is looking for. A decision is then taken based on the information obtained (Siroker & Koomen, 2013).

The below Table 2 summarizes the findings from literature review with respect to the different concepts described in the above section and a note on their relevance in terms of grade.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Relevance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agile Development Methods</td>
<td>Overall practice of delivering software in small chunks, continually add value to the end-product and follow inspect and adapt.</td>
<td>High (Lack of rigidity in decision-making)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Integration</td>
<td>Using automated testing and integration tools creating an infrastructure in which a developer or a team can develop, review, merge and deliver software directly and in regular intervals to the main track of software.</td>
<td>Medium (Creates infrastructure to get early feedback data)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Deployment</td>
<td>Through small pieces increments software functionality is continuously made available to end-users.</td>
<td>Medium (Opportunity to deploy and get early feedback)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test-driven development</td>
<td>By writing test cases corresponding to a piece of functionality and then running them before implementing the actual code.</td>
<td>Medium (Generates lot of data to help in implementation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/B testing</td>
<td>Categorizing software users into two random groups and each group being provided with a specific version of a functionality.</td>
<td>High (multiple versions producing large amount of usage data from two usable versions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary of practices enabling data-driven development
2.5 Factors to Consider in Data-Driven Development

In this section we present the important factors that software organizations need to consider while implementing data-driven development. The factors are based on study of relevant literature and our own reflections on the findings. The factors are multidimensional covering aspects like team, technology and process. Going back to the concept of Big Data, there needs to be a strategic approach to separate noise from data with a clear connection to the 3 Vs (Volume, Variety and Velocity) of data coming into the development organization (Marr, 2016). Continued studies are needed to decipher when and how this can be realized. Even though we find that organizations nowadays can largely benefit from analyzed data to make informed choices in different stages of software-development life cycle, the first step to realize it is to have good control on the flow of right amount of data. Furthermore, a proper categorization is also needed to create a mapping structure so that one type of data can facilitate one kind of decision and not the other.

We found that data is broadly categorized into qualitative and quantitative data. Qualitative data is data collected from and about a software that is very textual and is targeted towards explaining or describing. This type of data usually helps us with the ‘why’ and ‘what’ as it provides valuable inputs to understand customer behavior. Proper analysis of this type of data is usually associated with what customers want. As mentioned, this usually is in form of customer feedback, and sometimes requires
customer engagement. Quantitative Data, on the other hand, are collected by software and are more statistical and numeric (Olsson & Bosch, 2015). They require some structuring before being interpreted. They help us with the “How’s” of customer and product behaviors. This is mostly product data that is automatically generated by software. An example could be usage data on websites to monitor a feature’s use (Gwandhoo & Weldorg, 2010).

Importantly, a lot of literature has been published about the benefits of data analytics in understanding customers. There are specific types of data of different categories that provide inputs to marketing analytics and have proven to change the way organizations go about creation of new products and modification of existing products. Market Intelligence has become one of the essential benefits of data analysis to organization in recent times. Xu, Frankwick & Edward (2016) mention how using strategic methods of analyzing big data can help improve significantly new production as compared to traditional market analytic techniques (Xu, Frankwick & Edward, 2016).

2.6 Opportunities of data-driven development

The literature review provides some valuable inputs regarding the opportunities of data-driven development. Some of these are listed in the below subsections.

2.6.1 Agility in decision-making due to the presence of evidence

There is a gradual development of software-intensive companies from being traditional to becoming more innovation-oriented. The development can be seen as a sort of maturity in the agile way of thinking. In other words, from the days of creating the first agile/scrum (Schwaber & Sutherland, 2012) team to changing the way reporting of progress is done in an agile team (Schwaber & Sutherland, 2012), companies are now
moving into an era of more evidence-based, analytics-based and fact-based decision structures. Even though several dimensions of data collection like customer data, performance data or sensor data from products (Bosch & Olsson, 2017) are available, there is not much focus on how the data can, for instance, be utilized to make assessments related to cost optimizations. Software components and software packages often suffer from a shortcoming that right amount of focus is seldom put on the right features (Highsmith & Cockburn, 2001). According to Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson and Bosch (2017), the technique of A/B testing and collecting data through experimentation should have a connection back to business aspect and this is an area that needs to be looked at further (Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson & Bosch, 2017).

With regards to the business, it is important that development decisions are taken early and with confidence (Faulk, Harmon & Raffo, 2000). These can be explained as – teams, project and product managers must collaborate, meet and discuss the results of both qualitative as well as quantitative data. They must jointly arrive at a conclusion on what to change, edit, update or remove in a software functionality. The decision must be communicated swiftly to the business functions in the company and the same cycle must be continued at regular intervals. Agility in decision-making can have great impact on the business potential and eventual success. Data-driven development can produce the basis for such agile decisions.

2.6.2 Evidence resulting in quicker decisions

Data-driven development has its effect seen in team and its decision-making capabilities too. Organizations following agile principles need to have some way of functioning when it comes to discussions, exchanging of ideas and making choices related to architecture, design or implementation (Stoica, Mircea & Ghilic-Micu, 2013). If the teams are fed with
data, it can only help them make better choices. The uncertainty around, for example, which sensor to improve to get more user inputs or which thread to keep running to get crash logs etc. can be greatly reduced if teams get information from actual usage of the product. To simplify, if a team has access to user data and finds out after analyzing the data that a certain part of functionality is producing maximum number of crashes, it can consider keeping a thread running which has the specific task of capturing log data, stack trace and register values immediately as the system crashes. The data in this case can be looked at, traced back into the code and proper actions can be taken to avoid and if possible, completely solve the erroneous implementation (Biehl, Czerwinski, Smith & Robertson, 2007).

With the help and support of management, teams can get funding for setting up the equipment often near their daily Scrum or Kanban white boards. Since teams run in agile mode, they are in the practice of running daily planning meetings when they assemble for standup of 15 minutes (Schwaber & Sutherland, 2012). There are tools such as Azure Portal (Azure, n.d), or Kibana (Elastic, n.d) in case the teams have access to cloud infrastructure. These tools can help the team making quick decisions for implementation updates and the teams can eventually do the necessary updates. Here we see a direct impact and advantage of data-driven development in action.

Software development teams are moving more from being houses which only deliver functionalities to vital units which add value to the overall vision of the company (Fricker, 2012). To describe further, the teams have on one hand primary responsibility of delivering functional pieces and keep on doing that over a period. But on the other hand, they are moving on to take a much broader role of delivering value incrementally to the overall vision of the company. Market is tough and challenging as mentioned previously.
Continuous learning and value addition are differentiating factors which companies are trying to achieve (Gephart, Marsick, Van Buren, Spiro & Senge, 1996). Time to market can greatly be reduced by following CI, CD and the overall mechanism of data-driven development procedures. The incremental piece delivered can reach market faster and earlier to provide functions like sales and marketing to get feedback easier. The feedback is then given back to the development team and the latter can continue developing further or discontinue based on analysis of the feedback.

2.7 Challenges of data-driven development

In this section, we discuss the major challenges faced by organizations with regards to the implementation of data-driven development in their development practices. First, sharing of data within organizations can pose an issue. Information is gathered throughout a product’s life cycle in different stages and segments (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2016). One of the major challenges occurs due to this fact. Information obtained tends to be shared with that phase of software development only and is sometimes not passed around accurately through the whole development process. This leads to a knowledge-gap in the long run which could affect the total value of the product offered to specific customers (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2016).

Another problem is synthesizing this data to make value-adding decision which is the major challenge faced by companies. There is so much data available to companies now that to find cost-effective and time-effective ways of making sense of it becomes an issue (Bosch & Olsson, 2018). Furthermore, companies end up spending resources on data that at the end of the day is not very useful to them. Even when this data is properly mined to provide value, other challenges arise. In the long term, valuation of features’ benefits is
still a bottleneck. Many companies still find it difficult to arrive at suitable metrics of evaluating how beneficial a product will be in the long run prior to deployment. Also, the impact of a new product on the old is not easily measured as companies aim to find balance in integration (Katal, Wazid & Goudar, 2013).

Data security poses a challenge for companies. This is due to the access issues companies have to data which in most cases is personal (Yu, 2016). It becomes a burden of responsibility to these companies keeping it safe from unauthorized and malicious accesses. If mismanaged data security becomes a huge legal issue which ends up costing companies a lot of money and has reputational damage as well. Studies on new techniques of safeguarding data are being explored such as modifying the data in such a way so as to perform data mining algorithms effectively without compromising the security of sensitive information contained in the data privacy-preserving data mining (PPDM) (Xu, Jiang, Wang, Yuan & Ren, 2014).
3 Research Methodology

In this section we present our research methodology. The below figure, Figure 1, shows our entire research process. The details of each stage are discussed in this chapter.
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Figure 1: Research process

3.1 Research Philosophy

Our general research philosophy is categorized as Pragmatism (Advocacy) (Oates, 2012). The research observes organizations and/or communities to understand the behaviors, interactions, and tacit understandings that shed light on the problem being advocated against (Deborah, 2012). Pragmatists link the choice of approach directly to the purpose of and the nature of the research questions posed. The pragmatic paradigm implies that
the overall approach to research is that of mixing data collection methods and data analysis procedures within the research process (Creswell, 2003). This is because of the nature of the research we conducted. We intended to engage in a critical study of the current practices with the aim of establishing a power relationship between the types of data and the types of decisions made.

Our research has branches in both the exploratory and explanatory arms. Exploratory research has the goal of formulating problems more precisely, it clarifies concepts, gathers explanations, gains insights, eliminates impractical ideas and forms hypotheses (Yin, 1994). Literature research, survey, focus group and case studies are usually used to carry out exploratory research. An exploratory research may develop hypotheses, but it does not seek to test them (Yin, 1994). Explanatory research, which is grounded in theory, is another research purpose type, and the theory is created to answer the “why” and “how” questions. We are more interested in understanding, explaining, predicting and controlling relationships between variables than we are in detecting causes (Yin, 1994).

The pragmatic paradigm and strategies involve collecting data in a simultaneous or sequential manner using methods that are drawn from either quantitative or qualitative traditions in a fashion that best addresses the research question(s) (Creswell, 2003). The research- and data collection methods employed in our research were of qualitative nature. Qualitative principles helped us draw a clearer picture as well as increase the validity of our research.

### 3.2 Research Approach

Our research approach is of the qualitative nature. Qualitative research presents a group of methods that are used to induce meaning from a phenomenon in relation to behavior.
The phenomenon in question here is data-driven development. Qualitative research deals with the study of behavior (Yin, 1994) with the aim of giving more understanding of why things are as they are. This was exactly what we aimed to do in our research. Our goal is to identify type of data collected by software organizations, broadly categorize this data and better understand how data can improve decision-making with regards to software.

The method of logic behind our qualitative research stems from abductive reasoning (Oates, 2012) as we studied both the theory behind data-driven development and at the same time complimented the study with observations from trends. The aim was to form and prove a tentative proposition. It is also important to note that methods under qualitative research are more content-based which make it easier to derive understanding of underlying reasons, opinions and motivations. We opted to employ two of the qualitative methods: Interview Research (Oates, 2012) and Grounded Theory Analysis (Oates, 2012) which will be discussed in detail in the Research methods section of this paper.

3.3 Research Methods

The methodology used in the project is guided by qualitative analysis (Oates, 2012) and the techniques associated with it. We employed interview methods to collect data and the data was then put into a grounded theory (Oates, 2012) analysis to generate a model for study. The sample was chosen through preferential (Oates, 2012) sampling techniques.

We structured our project on the lines of iterative project model. In other words, we divided the entire work in small chunks of deliverables which continually added value to
the overall project goal. This structure helped us finding bottlenecks, overcoming impediments along the way and executing counter-measures to risks that we faced during the entire duration of the project. Once we had finalized the feasibility study, we created a list of research questions. We discussed first in the team and then with our supervisor to arrive at a meaningful set of questions. After the research questions were set, we went on to structure our probable research methods. Even though we had the choice of using surveys to collect some form of quantitative data, this was not brought in the final scope of our methodology. After several rounds of discussion, it was decided that we should only use interviews as means to get qualitative data.

### 3.3.1 Literature review study

As outlined before, the thesis had two main tracks of data collection. The first one is through a comprehensive literature review (Oates, 2012) and the other one is through an elaborate interview study (Easterby-Smith, Thorpe & Jackson, 2015). The literature review was conducted over a span of several weeks. Some of the search criteria used to find out relevant articles were “data driven development”, “data and decision-making” on a high level to “benefits of continuous integration”, “data generated from test-driven development” on a much lower level. We worked on a total of 30 sources including Google Scholar, Malmö University's library portal and books and online journals.

While in the beginning we focused mainly of the two research questions to look for articles and journals, we kept looking at the interview results and changed search criteria as we found newer dimensions to the topic. For example, data visualization (Oates, 2012) was not something we looked at in the beginning, but analysis and visualization of data came up as an important topic in the interviews and hence we researched more on it. The same can be said about CI, CD and tools aiding in data-driven development.
3.3.2 Interview study

Alongside literature review, we also decided to execute an interview-based research (Oates, 2012). This is mainly because an interview allows close contact with professionals, get insider’s view of how they perceived this growing trend and how it is being adopted in the practical world. The format of our interviews was Semi-structured. We interviewed several professionals in the Software field of various disciplines, to get varying perspectives of data and how those affect their jobs. Regarding interviews, professionals selected in the sample included those from the area of Skåne, which is located at the southern part of Sweden. We also contacted one professional from Nigeria. We interviewed 10 professionals in total.

First, we created a list of professionals from within our network. The list consisted of people working in different roles and with various levels of expertise, experience and knowledge in the field of software engineering (Oates, 2012). The professionals selected were from the group of people whom we have worked with at various stages of our own professional life. These professionals were coming from different sectors of software industry like IT, embedded systems, web development to name a few.

Second, we contacted these professionals personally to seek for their time which could match with our time as well. We gave each one of them a little orientation before the actual interview so that they could get some introduction of the topic and the field of our study. On a few occasions we received some questions back, asking for clarification on some points. Overall, it was accepted, and we were appreciated to take up a relevant topic. Given the limitations of a study based on interview (Oates, 2012) we put in a lot of effort to start the preparation for the actual meetings.
Third, we prepared a set of questions for our semi-structured (Oates, 2012) interviews. We started with a general set of questions that was not grouped according to interviewee profiles or roles. The main aim was to have open conversations and allow the interview to take its own flow (Oates, 2012) while we were there to make sure that we did not deviate from the main topic. Even though we had a good start to the first round of interviews with the initial set of questions, we later realized that the set of questions can be modified further. One of the reasons was that we were interviewing professionals working in different roles and the questions had to be tailored accordingly. Hence, we came up with a modified set of questions that was also grouped according to the target group of the interviewees.

Finally, we conducted the interviews with the selected group of individuals. All interviews were recorded after taking due permission from the interviewees. We created transcripts for each interview and saved those in a shared drive for future use. While doing the interviews a few important points were always followed. We were never late for any of the interviews. We kept a time limit of 30-40 minutes and we could stick to that. We promised the interviewees that we would share a copy of the final thesis paper once after we have finalized it.

The sample size of 10 interviewees consisted of professionals working with varied technologies and with very different backgrounds and experience levels. While on one hand we interviewed a handful of CEOs (Chief Executive Officer), on the other hand, we got to meet several senior software developers and even software project managers. The professionals chosen in the interview study all had good insights and they were willing to share their own reflections and understandings with us. Table 3 shows a summary of the
interviews conducted in the study. Some interesting information is revealed in the table which shows the variety, breadth and diversity of interviewees selected for the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviewee</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Interview Duration</th>
<th>Domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 1</td>
<td>Solution Architect</td>
<td>34 mins</td>
<td>Home Alarm Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 2</td>
<td>CEO, Architect</td>
<td>34 mins</td>
<td>IT Consultancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 3</td>
<td>Database Administrator</td>
<td>24 mins</td>
<td>Software Solutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 4</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>32 mins</td>
<td>IT Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 5</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>27 mins</td>
<td>Embedded Systems, Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 6</td>
<td>Technical Team Lead</td>
<td>30 mins</td>
<td>Embedded Automotive Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 7</td>
<td>Test Lead</td>
<td>32 mins</td>
<td>Home Automation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 8</td>
<td>Project Manager</td>
<td>28 mins</td>
<td>Software Consultancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 9</td>
<td>Senior Developer</td>
<td>31 mins</td>
<td>Web Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interviewee 10</td>
<td>Scrum Master</td>
<td>33 mins</td>
<td>Embedded Systems</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Profile of all participants in the interviews

3.3.3 Qualitative Analysis

After data was collected from both the literature review and interviews, we did a qualitative analysis taking inputs from grounded theory analysis (Oates, 2012). It helped us arrive at a model or theory from the field-data we expected to gather during the research project. The field data consisted primarily the interview from professionals working with product management. We aimed to construct a simple yet innovative way to arrive at decision-making. Grounded theory has the benefit of helping in this
construction as it uses coding and similar techniques to do analysis of raw data received from interviews.

For the data analysis part, we first did a transcription (Oates, 2012) of all the interviews. While doing the transcription, it was obviously difficult to write about the different emotions and gestures (Easterby-Smith, Thorpe & Jackson, 2015) the interviewee was going through. It was also difficult to note down the perception (Oates, 2012) of the interviewees on certain questions. However, we did our best to not omit anything from what was discussed and recorded.

Once the transcriptions were all done, we printed out the entire text and started to look closely at the available data on the hardcopy (Oates, 2012). We followed through the line of thoughts coming from the interviewees and tried to highlight some important findings on each interview. These important points were then color coded using different colored pencils (Oates, 2012). The main questions were laid out and answers and discussion points were thoroughly examined. The color coding (Oates, 2012) was a tool used to bring out the important points which was later looked at to find patterns.

As mentioned before, Grounded Theory analysis (Oates, 2012) is based on finding patterns and arriving at a possible model to be used for future. In our case, we looked deeper at the color-coded textual data and started to search for patterns. There were indeed some similarities in the data obtained and of course there were some irregularities too. The similar points led us making a foundation for our proposed model. The dissimilar points were also analyzed, and a grouping was done for further use. The details of the model and the patterns are discussed in the Results and Discussions section.
3.4 Threats to Validity

Our research has certain threats to validity some of which are discussed in this section. Moreover, the steps to overcome the internal and external validity are discussed in a later section. One of the major threats to the validity is that our data collection technique is only dependent on interview data. Interviews have a shortcoming in the sense that they can lack originality, reliability and consistency (Oates, 2012) on the part of the interviewee. There are also other aspects such as mood and openness from interviewees’ end that can affect the overall outcome. In our case, we selected professionals within our respective networks and therefore it is likely that those professionals who will be interviewed will be known to us. However, there is still no guarantee that inhibited and artificial (Oates, 2012) approach from professionals can be completely avoided.
4 Results

In this chapter we present our findings from the interview study. The different findings are grouped according to the group of questions we created in our interview question-set. The purpose of grouping the results was to provide a flow for the reader on the various important areas we touched upon. Furthermore, the aim was also to remain within the limits of the central theme of the topic. The interview questions were slightly varying based on the flow of conversation and based on the kind of professionals we were interviewing, thus upholding the overall recommendation of semi-structured interview.

4.1 General Understanding of Data-driven development

Our interviews show that there are variations in the level of understanding when it comes to defining or describing the concept of data-driven development. When we created our set of interview questions, our aim was to have an open discussion on this question. In other words, we wanted to not project any preconceived notion on the definition of data-driven development. Rather, we wanted to understand what it means for different interviewees given the context in which they work, their roles and their experience.

Interviewee 1, who is a lead architect in a company working with home alarm systems, gave us a well-informed and experienced take on the subject. An experienced professional who has been involved in different kinds of software developments from embedded systems to application layers, his simple and clear answer was it is something that had started a long time back. Having said that, the outcome of data analysis is not something that usually gets the right amount of priority that it deserves. Besides, what data to collect and store is often not so clear. All other things are prioritized more than
results from data analytics in general. So, data-driven development for him is information obtained from embedded systems and analytics performed on it with minimum usage in the end.

The CEO of an IT-consulting company who has long years of experience in the field of IT development was our Interviewee 2. His take on data-driven development was more elaborate and expansive. His understanding and experience helped us get a broader perspective of the concept as he illustrated his understanding using examples from the market. Among the areas where data-driven development is making its impact is Business Process Mapping and he highlighted the increasing role of product configurators in the field of software engineering in this context. Instead of designers designing a piece of software functionality or an IT system, it is these product configurators that are capturing valuable customer feedback data and helping them make the necessary design choices. In a way, he takes us through a journey of sort and pinpoints areas where data-driven development has become a reality. His understanding of the concept comes from the knowledge of the domains where the concept is practiced. Furthermore, he believes that data is becoming key to success for companies across the board. In fact, towards the end of the interview he said that based on data many things can be done and should be done.

Interviewee 3, who is a database administrator based in Nigeria was someone already working with data-driven development. Apart from the fact that data-driven development is popular in various domains of software development like in e-commerce and or any platform-oriented development, he also mentioned the fact that it is connected to the needs of end-users or customers.
“I feel data-driven development relies a lot on, I will put it in a market perspective, because at the end of the day, whatever we develop, the end user is going to do it, which is the customer....” (Interviewee3).

So, a comprehensive market perspective, customer-centric analysis etc. are some of the factors that should be taken into consideration while considering data-driven development.

Our interviewee 4 was a Project Manager working with IT-systems and he is someone who has long years of experience working in Sweden and has studied management as a discipline. To him data-driven development is something which is by nature linked to decision-making. It is not a new concept after all. The research in this field must be narrowed down and at the same time generalized. On a similar note as Interviewee 3, his view was that the theories and principles associated with this phenomenon are more directed to business needs. He however thinks that for data-driven development to have its intended impact, it should be supported with the right kind of tool. Tools are software components that do things in a more efficient way, can collect data based on the context and help create a decision in the end.

“So and especially where you have lot of data, which you want to process, and arrive at some sort of good conclusions or solutions, then it's not that easy..... human mind has, you know, some limitations, unfortunately, and tools can do it much better in efficient way.” (Interviewee4)

Interviewee 5, who is also a Project Manager working in embedded development in an emerging software company, has plain and simple understanding of the concept. Having
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her focus area on firmware development and in the understanding of regulations and certifications of products, she has seen data-driven development from low-level design aspects. Her view is that data-driven development is all about doing the right thing from the beginning. The right infrastructure must be there to access and then collect the data. Getting the data, collecting and analyzing it is one thing. To ensure that proper learning is done from that data and that the product itself can keep learning are somewhat different things. The concept should try to include both.

When it comes to detailed understanding, it was Interviewee 8 who had more inputs. The different inputs can broadly be classified into three groups. First, data-driven development has been a tool for software organizations to understand the status and progress in terms of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs). Second, he went on to say that web-development has feedback loop with customers employing A/B testing etc. Finally, there is data collection of individual users to facilitate online advertisements and selling of products based on individual’s usage and preference patterns. Connected systems like IoT can also generate data and help in this kind of software development but he is yet to see much of it.

“The third one that I would like to see is the one that actually involves the whole product lifecycle when it comes to like IoT and things that are not web based. But I haven’t seen too much of that yet” (Interviewee8)

Interviewee 7, who is also a test project manager had a different take on the subject. Instead of sequential development, this is more feedback-oriented software development process. It helps software to evolve through effective use of data which includes even test data among others. Using data for testing is what data-driven
development means in general for Interviewee 6. The verification of connected products and eventual development is covered in this context. Interviewee 9 gave a very straightforward reply to what he means as data-driven development. Using data to decide on what to do next – this was his take.

To summarize, on the topic of data-driven development, we found several answers ranging from popular definition to a broader domain understanding to a clear knowledge with specific examples. The summary has been illustrated in the below Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer 1</th>
<th>Information from embedded systems and analytics done on it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Answer 2</td>
<td>Feedback oriented software development process using the data collected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer 3</td>
<td>Usage of data to decide on what to do next in the development iteration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer 4</td>
<td>Verification of connected products and its eventual development based on the collected data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer 5</td>
<td>Tool to understand the status and progress in terms of Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer 6</td>
<td>Collection of data and helping in machine learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer 7</td>
<td>Decision-making based on data collected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Summary of understanding of data-driven development
4.2 Decision-making process

As observed in the previous sections, data and its different aspects were discussed and analyzed during the different interviews we conducted in this research project. The next step in our interviews was to understand how data can influence decision-making. In this context, it was rather obvious that we needed to get an overview of what kinds of decisions, if any, the interviewees have been part of. The following subsection presents our findings in these areas.

Interviewee 1 had an interesting insight to the question on how his company uses customer’s feedback, in terms of decision-making. As bug reports are the primary form of data that he deals with, his views were centered around analysis of issues. The issues reported, he said, are stored, filtered and sent over to development teams that work on them and fix them eventually. So, decisions are made pretty much on the spot and decisions are communicated to the teams. He mentioned that apart from error reports as crude customer feedback data, some sort of usage data is also collected. When it comes to making use of usage data in making the right choices, his answer was that in the mobile application or web-portal layers, it is being done.

One of the interviewees, Interviewee 3, mentioned about the fact that tools and software aid in decision-making. He has himself been involved in building some of these tools. But since he is not holding any managerial position, he has not been directly involved in decision-making based on data. This is quite contrary to what Interviewee 4 said. According to him, data-driven decision-making (DDDM) is not a new concept after all. It has been there for a long time, however, the context matters. He also shared the same view that tools are necessary to provide the right inputs for aiding in the process of
DDDM. Furthermore, business and management should be interconnected. The theories and principles associated with DDDM is more directed to business. Project management comes into picture once after business has decided which project to run and how to run.

Some of the other interviewees like Interviewee 2 and 4 had a more elaborate take on the subject. For Interviewee 5, who works with firmware and is close to hardware, all forms of feedback data are interesting and should be used. However, she had a word of caution – the learnings from customer feedback and its eventual inclusion in the backlog depend very much on how early and how fast we get the feedback. There is a gap of time between deployment and actual results from usage in the field. Software is easy to deploy and receive feedback on, hardware is a bit difficult. It depends on customers too whether they have the needed infrastructure to get the data. This is true for new functionality under development and is part of the agile ways of working. User experience data during implementation is a needed input even though it can put challenges on the speed of the development.

Interviewee 2, who is an IT expert, too had similar broad answers. He believes data is becoming key to success for companies across the board. He went on saying that Business Process Mapping and the role of product configurators are gaining momentum in the field of software engineering. Instead of designers designing a piece of software functionality or an IT system, it is these product configurators that are capturing valuable customer feedback data and helping in making design choices. To support this mechanism more and more IOT devices are being used. With the main aim of companies to make a good product that customers really want, IOT is being used as a solution to collect more and more useful data. A small chip attached to a system or a sensor has the power of
delivering a great amount of data that could be helpful to arrive at a good decision related to product design.

Using CI and CD and getting quality earlier introduced into the product are things that could be effects of data-driven development as mentioned by Interviewee 8. These are the results of decisions from DDD. Interviewee 7 has been very involved in daily usage of data in decision-making. He has been doing it regularly, in testing of products. The results of tests, defects count, problematic areas are all data that comes into the project and test strategy is built up based on that. Similar inputs were also received from Interviewee 6 who mentioned that verification and test strategy come from decisions done from data received on products. Interviewee 9 had very similar views on decision-making aspects of data. Bug reports, for example, is an important data for making decisions. He mentioned though that it is mostly the product owners that make these choices in the end.

4.3 Types of data

The interview track was focused on the types of data gathered in organization in relation to the different domains of the interviewees. The idea was to get a wide scope of how data-driven development is implemented in the professional field.

As per Interviewee 1, the major types of data he deals with come from the product in the form of “especially bug reports”. He emphasized that error reports of products out in the field are given high priority in his organization. Interviewee 5 and 9 also mentioned bug and fault reports as major data types they deal with.
Interviewee 2 was of the opinion that the data from web tools tell them a lot about usage of features and he emphasized the importance of communication with customers to get a more verbal understanding of their experience. Interviewee 3 was also of the same opinion regarding the use of web tools to monitor feature usage.

According to Interviewee 5 and 6, the most commonly used data is product data from the devices (they work more in hardware and automobile industries). The data logs from the devices consist mostly of performance data. However, Interviewee 7 also said a lot of test data is used to evaluate products before it is deployed. This data is compared to regulatory standards to ensure the quality is at a specific level.

The general feedback about the type of data is that mostly there is so much variety of data depending on what you are looking for. The interviewees mostly spoke about data with regards to features in the web domain, customer feedback (mostly in terms of social media) and products’ log. Interviewee 9 and 8 mentioned about clicks, session time and navigation data that are primarily associated with websites and web-based products as major data that software development organizations can analyze.

Interviewee 4 believed that in large organizations that have other organizations as customers there is a lot of feedback (both oral and written down) data produced from meetings with their clients. General feedback was that we have so much data (Big data) that there is hardly any specific way to categorize all of them.
4.4 Tools & Processes

The general feedback about tools is that most interviewees have knowledge of the off-the-shelf software analytics tools especially regarding the web domain. A clear majority (70%) of the interviewees mentioned a variety of commercial off-the-shelf tools that are used in their various organizations and they have used themselves such as Google analytics, Google Tag Manager, Kubernetes and ElasticSearch. There is a general commonality within interviewees’ response that tools for analytics are usually in-house as they need to be developed to cater for specific data types. 5 of the interviewees mentioned that the tools used are mostly also in-house to cater to the specific nature of the data collected in their domains. Two of the interviewees, Interviewee 9 and 10 mentioned that the off-the-shelf tools are usually tweaked to fit organizational specifications.

Also, they mentioned that business analysts employ social media data as part of tools especially in collecting customer reaction to a product. It was also a prominent point that in the organization which mostly deal with other large organizations still rely heavily on interactions like meetings and surveys to gather feedback. Experimental and test data tools are also put into consideration. The following Table 5 summarizes the general response to the question on tools used on data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories of Tools</th>
<th>Int 1</th>
<th>Int 2</th>
<th>Int 3</th>
<th>Int 4</th>
<th>Int 5</th>
<th>Int 6</th>
<th>Int 7</th>
<th>Int 8</th>
<th>Int 9</th>
<th>Int 10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In-House</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Off the shelf</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tweaked</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Summary of tools usage
4.5 Confidentiality

While we have found out multiple views on the types of data, the tools we can employ to assist in data-driven development or the human factor that we cannot get away with, the overall question around sharing of data came up in almost all the conversations. There was a linearity of thought when it comes to confidentiality, authenticity and integrity of data available and circulated within the companies. GDPR and recent developments in the legal framework of data handling in general have added to the concerns which the interviewees all share and want us to keep in mind.

Interviewee 5 was one of those who had seen little or no difficulties in data sharing within her company. There is a disclaimer though – data within a project is good to share. But data within different projects is not possible to be shared even though it is the same company that drives the projects. According to her, as long as her company works in-house with an R&D setup as a project team driving separate projects, there is no issue in sharing.

Even though Interviewee 1 said that there is no problem he has faced when it comes to sharing of data due to the recent GDPR related changes and the overall access restrictions in the company, there are certain persons who might be having more rights than some others for running certain database queries. Interviewee 2 was very concrete in this point. Talking about privacy and confidentiality of data, he believed it is a structural issue that data from one department may not be in many cases shared across. Interviewee 4, who had strong views on usage of tools in data-driven development had no major inputs on confidentiality of data except that the overall structure in an organization should be
able to maintain it. Interviewee 9 stated the fact that data sharing would not be a problem as long as the right legal documentation is in place to protect the organization.
5 Discussion

This chapter presents analysis, findings and reflections based on literature review and the interview data collected from the 10 distinct interviews conducted over the span of the project. We used techniques and principles from Grounded Theory Analysis (Oates, 2012) to arrive at a model which is presented at the end of the chapter. The model is explained in detail and we mention how a further study on the model can be carried forward.

5.1 Different types of data with respect to domains

One of the primary factors on which data can be grouped is domain. In our interviews we met with different professionals who are working in different domains. There were certain overlaps too, especially in the areas of embedded system development. At least three of the interviewees reportedly were working on embedded and firmware development. They had insightful inputs around data and data-oriented decision-making. Test was one overlapping area which spanned across domains. IT systems and projects were also a recurring domain. In our interview study we also came across web development and database administration as singular domains. The other recurring domain to come up was Home Automation and Home Security Systems.

The different domains certainly had a role to play on the kind of data being discussed. Interviewee 1 kept stressing on the point that for his development work, bug and error reports constitute the most credible and valuable source of data coming into the teams. Similar reflections can also be found in the conversations with Interviewee 5 who went a step further and mentioned that crash data, logs and steps to reproduce an issue are data
that come together with error reports. Product usage data is also something they have been aware of and have worked with, especially in mobile and embedded systems. However, the major outcome from the data taken into the teams are not really made use of in terms of decision-making. In fact, one of them mentioned that even though sometimes some inference is created no further action is taken thereafter. This is in line with the findings from the literature review where we found out how power structures become a hindrance to the actual realization of data-driven development (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2015).

IT domain stood out as an important area of our study from the interviews. The two interviewees had similar line of thought expressing the needs for tools to collect data. The data they are involved in centers around new IT products being designed and created. Interviewee 4 mentioned about the need to generalize the data, which is more intended to flow across an organization. It is no longer a certain team or constellation that should take up data and work on it. Project- and product managers cannot just look at their data on their own, but they should look at the overall context in which data should be analyzed. Interviewee 2 had a point to mention on this topic and it is around data confidentiality and the ease of flow of data in the organization. Sharing of data is an issue in the IT domain and it has been like that for a while (Fabijan, Olsson & Bosch, 2015).

Another interesting area that got a lot of focus based on our interview and literature findings is test. Different forms of test data came up during our conversations. While Interviewee 2 mentioned about training and test data with regards to Machine Learning, Interviewee 6 was specifically reporting the need of test result data that comes to his team based on test cases created and executed on embedded functional modules which is part of the automotive system team he has been leading. Interviewee 10, in his analysis
of the ways of working followed in his team, mentioned about the importance of test data and results from different tests planned and conducted. Interestingly, our literature study showed an equal amount of importance coming from test and test-results when it comes to data-driven development. The practices of CI (Fowler, 2006), CD (Rahman, Helms, Williams & Parnin, 2015) and TDD (Janzen & Saiedian, 2005) as recent developments in the context of agile methodology have provided opportunities to get sensible, useful and large amounts of data which can then be made use of. Even though test is an area of interest in the study it is not worthwhile considering it to be a specific domain on its own. On the other hand, it could as well be argued that test is an area that spreads itself across domains and has its impact regardless of the software entity being developed.

Automotive was a domain that came up in multiple interviews partially because the interviewees are working in that field. These professionals are developing end-to-end products which is more elaborate sphere of work compared to those working in a limiting boundary of IT or Test. They have a broader scope which covers product inception to product launch. The interviewees mentioned the importance of data in their daily work. According to them, the types of data are varied and are based on the phase of development which the product is in. Quick decision-making in an agile setup (Schwaber & Sutherland, 2012) which can make use of this data is need of the hour.

We must not forget another domain that came up in our interview study and that was Web. It was mentioned by Interviewee 8, 9 and even by Interviewee 2. Interviewee 4 mentioned the specific importance of it. The discussions were mostly centered around A/B testing (Fabijan, Dmitriev, Olsson & Bosch, 2017) and its benefits. The data is essentially feedback data from pilot users or users that potentially could be customers.
The data is mostly unstructured at times with little or no order. It is tough to handle these types of data in a domain like Web; however, the attempt has been there since long.

To summarize, understanding the essential basics of domain in which software is being developed is a key to start grouping data. The question is what the need is to group the data. It was apparent from the interviews that too much of it comes into the development process and the environment to handle it does not always work. Furthermore, there is a perceived lack of understanding of which data came from where and what shall be done with it. Having domain expertise and experience working in a certain field help in some ways as found in literature reviews but, to an agile team working as self-motivated group, it is important that data is categorized in a proper manner. As can be seen from the Table 6 below, a general pattern from the interview data can be observed. The pattern can then be put to a category and we have 5 identified domains which shape and guide the types of data that teams can expect to deal with. We argue that a part of RQ1 is answered through this domain-level separation.
5.2 Categorization of Data

One of the aims of our project has been to figure out how to broadly classify and categorize the large amount of data coming into a software-intensive organization. In fact, if domain understanding provides the first step, a clear grouping of the different types of data does the necessary second step to answer our RQ1. One major reflection from all the interviews conducted is that professionals see the need to structure and order the data which can be used in decision-making. The below subsections arrive at a grouping with examples from interviews and literature study.

5.2.1 Category: Qualitative

Based on our interviews it is quite clear that a big chunk of data that software development teams deal with are of qualitative nature. To be more specific, half of the interviewees believed customer feedback mails, telephone calls and visits play a big role
in shaping what actions need to be taken. These are highly prioritized inputs which affect the team’s workflow. Interviewee 1 mentioned a call from a customer in the middle of a day reporting a defect will immediately lead to actions from the team and management. Interviewee 5 spoke about large organization which have other organizations as customers, do not directly interact with end users. In those cases, the primary source of data is notes from stakeholder involvement meeting. This is an important qualitative data that affects decisions.

The other important qualitative data that came up during the interview discussions was bug and error reports. The data available from errors are factual, feedback-based and trustworthy. In other words, even though the data mostly comes in a textual format, it offers enough evidence and information to take decisive actions on. Interviewee 1 and 5 were greatly involved in handling these types of data.

Apart from these, there is also another aspect of qualitative data that can be summarized from the interview findings. These are test results from manual testing, an area highlighted by Interviewee 10, 7, 6 and 8. While Interviewee 7 was already working with these types of feedback data from manual test cases implemented and executed in his team, Interviewee 9 presented a more scientific perspective of a similar feedback data. He mentioned about the textual test results from A/B testing whose importance we also discovered during our literature study.

5.2.2 Category: Quantitative

Apart from the different qualitative data that we mentioned in the previous section, there were also several inputs that came to us from the interview study which relate to more deterministic, automatically captured and real-time data. Among others, Interviewee 3
mentioned about tools that he is aware of and which provides facilities to software products to collect and store product usage data. Interviewee 1, 2, 6 and even 10 mentioned about automated testing and the benefits of CI and CD when it comes to automated test results on certain piece of developed software functionality.

The fact that quantitative data is concrete and to a large extent automated, there is not much scope of discussion when it comes to how to prioritize. For example, crash logs, as mentioned by Interviewee 5, among others, is a raw text data with valuable information about stack trace or register values. Any development team is sure to derive benefit from it. On a similar note, it can be mentioned that Interviewee 8 had a clear understanding of web development and the amount of human interaction data that it can generate. Interviewee 6 mentioned about automated test results on Machine learning system as highly valuable, concrete quantitative data helping in feature development choices in his team of automotive embedded engineers. Interviewee 2 informed us about his experiences of working with sensor data coming from connected systems which can provide a great deal of information to build up on products.

The below table, Table 7, lists down the findings from different interviews with regards to the two broad categories of data. We provide some examples of each type with inputs found from the interview study and which are backed by our literature study. Examples like data from emails, or phone calls were highlighted by multiple participants and so were bugs and error reports. Test constituted a big chunk of both qualitative as well as quantitative categories. Some examples like sensor data or crash logs are obvious and cannot be ignored in any software development organization. In the table, we also mention the levels within the organization where each type of data has its influence. The frequency of data is basically the amount of a certain type of data being collected and
used in an organization. The “impact areas” column is something which contains our own understanding based on the profiles of the participants, the domains in which they work and their answer to the question of how much impact they see from data-driven development approach in their daily work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Affected levels</th>
<th>Frequency of data</th>
<th>Impact Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Qualitative</strong></td>
<td>Customer feedback emails</td>
<td>Product Owners</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Feature Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reported problems via telephone calls</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Minutes of meeting from customer visit</td>
<td>Product Owners</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Strategy update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product backlog items</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stakeholder meetings notes</td>
<td>Product Owners</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Strategy update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bug and Error Reports</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual Test results</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Test feedback (user experience)</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A/B test results</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Quantitative</strong></td>
<td>Automated test results</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crash logs</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human Interaction data (navigation patterns, number of trials)</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product Usage data (Web clicks, session duration)</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensor data</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Feature Specification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product performance logs</td>
<td>Teams</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Design &amp; Code update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Product configurators</td>
<td>Teams, product owners</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Feature Specification</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Detailed view of categorized data facilitating data-driven development

### 5.3 Analysis of Data

Once after the grouping and categorization is completed, proper analysis of the data should be done. In the following subsections, we reflect upon the results from our interview study, we highlight the sources we read as part of our literature review and we develop an understanding of the factors that can effectively guide us to analyze the data
coming into a software-intensive organization. We argue that analyzing data is a step closer to answering RQ2 as it provides the base for a structured decision-making.

### 5.3.1 Tools to collect data

One of the major challenges as mentioned by different interviewees when it comes to data-driven development is how to effectively collect the right data at the right time. Tools like Jira help to capture error reports which Interviewee 1 mentioned. The tool offers a comprehensive mechanism to upload crash logs or other log information together with a step-by-step description of how to reproduce the error. Interviewee 10 mentioned about gathering and documentation of functional and nonfunctional requirements that is practiced in his domain of work. There is a specific tool to collect, store and maintain such requirements-related data.

Interviewee 2 talked about IOT devices as a solution and eventually a tool to close the gap between what customers require and what software development teams understand of those requirements. For any software company which aims to make a good product, it is essential that customer requirements are understood and the product that was required is created and finally sold to the market. With IOT as the platform solution, companies can get a clearer picture of what is expected from for example, a room ventilation system or air conditioning system. A device that can collect data through its sensors can monitor user movements, changes in environment, or other external events as found from literature review. This data can further be used for making changes to the product design. Interviewee 3, who had a brief stint in mobile industry, mentioned about GPS-enabled mobile devices being used as tools to collect location data like longitudes and latitudes.
Interviewee 10, 6 and 7 mentioned about field tests and tests in the automotive industry dealing with embedded products. There are tools to run the automated tests on each delivery done from development teams. Besides, according to interviewee 6, it is a common practice to go outside in the field then we collect certain data from automotive software systems. He mentions further that based on this data, various shortcomings in the implementation are found out and different scenarios are observed which was not anticipated. Large scale testing on embedded products in automotive software results in large amounts of data and tests are created to get those.

Interviewee 5 mentioned about the usage of Product Backlog as a tool to collect requirements data. Usage of backlog as a tool was also mentioned by Interviewee 7 and 10. Features and work items can be listed and prioritized by using it. As a matter of fact, backlog is a tool which almost all the interviewees have worked with and they see the importance of using it.

5.3.2 Tools to synthesize data

Synthesizing the data and presenting the analyzed form is a challenge as found out from interview study. Several analytics tools could be used as highlighted by Interviewee 2 and to some extent by Interviewee 3. Interviewee 3 mentioned about a tool to summarize and visualize data for aiding in analysis even though the actual analysis was done by someone else. The tool was project specific and was functional for a certain duration. The findings from the literature review validates this finding because dashboards, visual charts and similar presentations of data make a major contribution to the analysis of data.

Interviewee 7 mentioned about a specific tool being used in his company to analyze test results data. Since he has long years of experience working in the mobile phone industry
he has experienced the usage of specific analysis tool to measure usage data, find root cause to issues etc. He has also seen in his current assignment how user behavior and click events on websites are fed back to analysis tool to draw conclusions.

On the topic of tools for analysis, almost half of the interviewees mentioned that doing the actual analysis or drawing a conclusion based on the analyzed data are not something they are involved with. Also, around 50% of participants were unsure of the exact tool their companies work with to analyze the collected data. This was interesting as it shows that even though data is gaining popularity in software development, there is still a gap when it comes to utilizing the benefits of it. This is something we came across during our literature study also.

A general reflection from the interview study on tools as mentioned in the Results section leads us to believe that most of the interviewees prefer either in-house or off-the-shelf tools for both collecting as well as synthesizing data for analysis purpose. In other words, there is not much prospect derived from tweaking these tools to cater to specific needs. This also explains the fact that selection of tools is related to the domain-specific grouping of data as presented in the beginning of this chapter. Which tool to select and how to select are dependent on the domain in which it will eventually operate.

### 5.4 Mapping types of data to types of decisions

The general feedback from all interviews is that the data coming into the organization is so large and has so many multiple dimensions to it that there is a clear need to categorize it to make any comprehensive meaning out of it. To say that the type of data coming into organizations can be narrowly categorized would be a very difficult task as different
domains and organizations have different yardsticks for gauging what is relevant and what is not. After properly categorizing this incoming data using domain-favorable tools and techniques, the next stage requires actually deducing meaning from the data in terms of what data tells about the product. Only by doing this can we become more informed and can we subsequently make decisions.

From the literature study and interview results we see that there are several ways in which data is relevant and adds benefit to decisions made on a software product. Furthermore, there are several decisions a software organization can take during a product’s lifecycle. Decisions made also vary in types and significance depending on stage a product is in its lifecycle. From our interviews we deduced that decisions are usually centered around modification of a product when it is defective. Optimization or upgrade of a product are also done to make it perform better which relate to the product already out in the market. Techniques like A/B testing help with decisions regarding which variant of a product is more commercially viable in the pre-deployment stage and which one is not. Other types of decisions revolve around the value of a product and whether or not it should be continued or decommissioned based on the data analyzed using specific matrices. Also from the literature by Xu, Frankwick & Edward (2016), we see that if proper data analysis is factored into decision-making on new products, it can contribute significantly to the success rate (Xu, Frankwick & Edward, 2016).

From our research we have established that data’s effect on decision-making is most prominent in two input parameters. These parameters are Market Intelligence which was strongly emphasized in literature and Product Performance monitoring which was the main theme surrounding our interview study. In the literature review conducted we could see that there is a clear need for customer involvement as stated by Lundkvist & Yakhlef
(2004) especially now that technological advancements have made customers more accessible (Lundkvist & Yakhlef, 2004). Technological advancements like connected devices make it easier for us to know customer behavior patterns and social media makes it easier for us to collect feedback. These customer involvement and analysis of data feed the organization’s knowledge base and adds to what literature terms as Market Intelligence. The interview study shows that a lot of professionals use data to try and understand how the market is behaving using several tools to analyze this data. Both categories of data (Qualitative and Quantitative) feed into Market Intelligence in their own way. The Quantitative category of data that affects Market Intelligence in decision-making relate to trying to map a pattern in market behavior. The Qualitative data, on the other hand, helps with a description of why market behavior is as it is.

Most of the data types we got from the interviews point towards monitoring of Product Performance – especially in the embedded and web domain. The data being reported back tells the professionals how products already out in use are performing. Most of these relate to errors and bugs that need to be fixed. This has a great effect on decisions made on the product as now the decision makers have privilege to information about the product and how it is performing with regards to its functional and non-functional capabilities. This paint a picture about what needs to be modified or not in the product. A clear majority of our interviewees mentioned bug reports as a primary source of data. This is an example of one of the product performance inputs that are taken into consideration for the iteration of the product. If a bug report shows some system failure in a product, the development team can quickly act on this information to rectify it. The speed at which this error is handled could determine the success or failure of the product or profit or loss for the organization. It is not only bug reports but other performance criteria such as speed of execution and even functionality usage that come into picture.
from these product performance data. Figure 2 below shows how the two categories of
data influence the different factors of decision-making. The qualitative data being more
descriptive and mostly obtained from customer, it influences the decisions made on
customer experience and what market dictates. Thus, it relates more to the information
on business decisions. On the other hand, the more numeric quantitative data, generated
by the software, influences more the product decisions in terms of usage and
performance.

We also have a synergy within answers to the question regarding the types of data coming
into organizations, with most of the interviewees from the hardware and embedded
domain stating that bug reports and backlog are very popular forms of data. On the
managerial level of decision-making which involves product managers, stakeholder
engagement and the data generated from it are put into a lot of consideration especially
in pre-deployment activities. Another point from the interviews is that the software
versions of products can be updated remotely without need for the product being
physically worked upon. This is also highlighted in the literature review as part of how
technology is aiding continuous deployment and integration.

Data when analyzed with respect to market intelligence and product performance can
greatly influence the outcome of the decisions made. It is also important to mention that
the ratio in which these two are used is subjected to the organization’s priority and
constraints around, for example, resources and technology. By coming to this deduction
in our research we have given an answer to our RQ 2 on how we can use the categories
of data to better our decision-making process. The different types of data can be mapped
to either business intelligence or product performance as a broad division of the decision-
making factors. However, we find some data as a combination of both. The Venn diagram
below as depicted in Figure 2 shows the mapping of the data from our interviews with respect to decision-making factors.

![Figure 2: A mapping of data to decision-making factors](image)

5.5 Levels of decision in organizations

The interviewees had inputs about the decision-making process in their different organizations. All of them work in an agile team where the information flow is fluid. They have access to the analyzed results of data collected, however decisions on the results
are subject to purview. Some decisions are handled directly by the responsible party: in the case of bug fixes or errors, the developers fix them and report back to the team. Others are handled in a more group dynamic with the term “product owner” coming up in a few interviews; these are more about cross-functional and are mostly decisions that affect more than one area of the product’s development. An example of this is given by the Interviewee 7 who is a test manager. In test case feedback scenario, the tester, developers and all affected parties sit together to make decisions on how to resolve issues. Another interesting insight is that sometimes decisions are taken with regards to the business model of the organization. As two of the interviewees mentioned that the decisions must be in line with the bigger picture of what the organizations are trying to achieve. This is usually taken on a board level with business analyst and CEO’s involved. We can deduce that there is still a hierarchical nature to the level at which these decisions are taken. We depict this in Figure 3 as below.

Figure 3: Levels of decisions in organizations
The different types of decisions made in a software organization is dependent on the different levels at which they are made. Decisions such as the business decisions about strategy and product design are handled on the business level and product level where CEOs and Product Managers discuss ideas on how to collectively fulfill the business objectives. The decisions about a certain product, on the other hand, are usually cascaded down by the Product Managers, who in turn have discussions with their team on how to implement them. Also, decisions such as optimization decisions and bug fixes (technical decisions) are handled at the product and team level as there is no need of taking it up to business level.

5.6 Categorize Analyze Deduce (CAD) model

Our model aims to explain the flow that data goes through in an organization for it to add value to the decision-making process. After the data has been categorized into more structured groups and analyzed in consideration to the above-mentioned factors, the last stage comes in the form of deduction. This is where meaning is obtained from data and it helps in informed decision-making. Here data is visualized usually for ease of understanding and weighed up in accordance to organizational metrics. The human factor is still a very strong aspect of the decision-making process and cannot be neglected. Data is mainly used as an augmentation to the human factor. Four of the interviewees stated the importance of the human factor in decision-making. Human factors in decision are those factors that come into play that are not as a result of data analysis or any sort of computation, these are factors such as experience, instinct and gut feeling. The interviewees strongly believe this is what that gives innovation its cutting edge and should not be neglected because of data analyses. Over-reliance on data as a key decision-making factor makes an organization rigid and it eventually starts to lack advantageous
edge from other competitors, as these competitors may most likely have access to the same or similar data. To establish an edge over competitors, human factors need to be incorporated alongside data.

Another vital piece of knowledge deduced from the study was that the ratio in which organizations should rely on data is subject to the organization’s need. The ratio depends on factors in organizations such as resources, business model/strategy of the organizations and regulations. Regulations governing certain domains constrain how much the results of data analysis can be implemented into software. An interviewee from the automotive domain, Interviewee 6, specifically stated that they have strict guidelines they follow in design regardless of what data tells them about customer experience. An example stated was in the use of colors and light frequency in the car dashboard which are regulated to cater to all categories of clients. It is also important to mention that the CAD model fits into the iterative model of modern-day production and is a model that should be implemented continually as new products or features are being developed up until the end of the products’ life cycle.

The Figure 4 below depicts our model and gives a visual representation of the pathway to achieving more value adding decisions with the help of data. The first step as recommended is to categorize the input data. The two categories lead to a broader grouping of decisions related to business and product. The analyze phase aids in the analysis of the decision factors before a final deduction is done in the deduce phase. At the deduce phase, human factors are also taken into consideration.
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![Diagram of data-driven development process]

**Figure 4: CAD model**
6 Conclusion

In the paper we have done a study of data-driven development regarding software practices. We categorized data coming into organizations and showed how data can benefit decision-making. Data-driven development is one of the recent phenomena to have come across in organizations working with agile methodology. At the very onset, a brief outline of the latest trends in software development practices was provided. Then, we proceeded to give a background understanding of what is meant by data-driven development with a focus on both data as well as decision-making. We went through a detailed review of existing literature which included articles, journals, books and other sources. We discussed how the new techniques and tools are facilitating collection, storage and analysis of data and are helping organizations get more informed. We focused on the benefits of decision-making to arrive at more agile, effective and fact-based decisions when it comes to software development.

Through interview study we expanded our knowledge base on what data means to different professionals. We also examined how much data and in what forms and types are affecting decision-making. Furthermore, we also summarized what part of data collected in organizations gets utilized in decision-making. It was obvious that the gap we identified in literature review became more apparent after talking to professionals from different domains working in software development and test.

We analyzed the interview data, matched with literature study, reflected on the findings and arrived at a model by the name Categorize (C), Analyze (A) and Deduce (D) or CAD. The CAD model was then explained in detail and presented. As for future work, more interviews can be conducted, more articles can be examined, and case studies can be
Master Thesis project: FROM CHAOS TO ORDER: A study on how data-driven development can help improve decision-making

performed to validate, modify or improve on our findings, especially around the CAD model. The level of abstraction of the CAD model can be significantly reduced with further research into organizational usage of data. In future, more work needs to be done to determine the scale at which data is relevant to decision-making depending on the type and domain it is in.
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Appendix

Interview Questions used

General Introduction -
1. We start by introducing ourselves. We have both been professionals in software industry for several years and have keen interest in the latest researches done in this domain.
2. It would be good if we could have a short introduction from you.

Data collection -
1. What is your own view on data-driven development? Is it practiced in your organization/company?
2. What are the different types of data collected in your organization related to the product or feature that you develop?
3. Have you been involved with tools like BugZilla, Jira, Kubernetes or Kibana? [This question will depend on what role the interviewee plays in the current job]
4. Do you think the currently available tools for Agile Ways of Working provide enough customization facilities to cater to your need of data collection?
5. Do you have User Experience sessions for your features/products? If so, how do you record and collect feedback? Do you have UI mockups to first present to target users?

Filtering and analysis of data -
1. If we consider customer feedback as qualitative data and product usage data as quantitative one, where do you think your company puts more focus on?
2. Is it the Product Manager that does a filtering of the customer feedback and converts change requests to work packages before finally sending those over to development teams?
3. In case of product data, do you have tools to do the filtering and analysis based on requirements you have set? If so, do you consider time duration, corner cases etc, while evaluating the product data?
4. In case the system crashes or hangs, do you get snapshot of memory, CPU or stack trace from product usage data which can facilitate debugging?
5. In case of generic errors from field, do you get logs and memory dump in order to analyze the issue?

Usage of Data -
1. Do you experience issues to share qualitative or quantitative data within and across organizations in your company? If so, what are the challenges?
2. Are there programming languages like "Google Tag Manager", "Python" or "R" which is used in your organization to make use of the data?
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3. What kind of security aspects are considered while storing the data? Do you, for instance, have certificates and digital signatures to authenticate and encrypt the data before being used?
4. What sort of backup and storage plan is employed in your organization? If you are on cloud, do you use any of the managed services to store the data? What happens if the service goes down? Do you employ local backup?

**Decision making**

1. Do you work with data of any kind in your role to make decision or monitor products?
   a. Is product usage a metric for product backlog items?
2. Do you think data is helping in getting more accurate picture of customer needs/ if so, how is it employed in your role?
   a. Do you see a reflection of these customer needs to the product backlog?

**New Vs Old product**

1. How does data input influence the decision making around new product vs already deployed product?
2. using CI/CD does your organization have a specific time frame where products are revisited, even if no bug is detected?
3. Do you monitor the number of Commits to a product? If so, does this information go into the decision-making process?